Introduction

Landfill leachate, or “black water”, which is created when rain or ground water percolates through the mass of mixed municipal and industrial waste in landfills, has come under new China EPA water discharge standards. The country has implemented new rules for discharge and is funding the effort to modernize the methods and systems for handling this effluent.

Mainstream treatment solutions for landfill leachate in most cases include the use of biological processes. These processes are indeed efficient and economical when treating low to medium organic contamination loads in relatively stable quality wastewater streams such as municipal wastewater, but also show their limitations in terms of long term efficiency and cost when applied to leachate treatment. Chinese authorities, agencies and industry stakeholders in China and other countries are actively looking for alternative solutions to the treatment of this effluent, which is one of the most highly contaminated, and highly complex, industrial effluent.

Electro Water Separation with Advanced Oxidation (EWS:AOx™), which OriginClear developed in the United States, is a sequential combination of electrically induced gas flotation (EWS) and advanced oxidation (AOx). AOx relies on in-situ generated powerful oxidants, resulting from known chemical reactions occurring when the wastewater stream contacts a series of specifically designed electrodes.
It is a fast, versatile, low maintenance process using electricity combined with a relatively small, optimizing quantity of simple chemical additives, such as NaOH, whose component atoms are part of, and vanish with, process reactions.

In China, OriginClear cooperates with local engineering firms to propose integrating EWS:AOx in sequence with downstream treatment solutions, resulting in a complete solution.

The firm and its partners have conducted a series of demonstrations and tests in East Asia to further confirm that the process can and does address landfill leachate treatment issues, while also defining process parameters for full size commercial systems. This in turns allows us to estimate the operation’s financials, both as to Capital Expenditure (CapEx) and Operating Expenses (OpEx). CapEx being subject to system size and manufacturing conditions, we chose not to discuss this point here, but we estimated an average OpEx based on the process parameters defined during these various tests.

**On-site demonstration**

The goal for this initial demonstration was to reduce COD from 10,000 to 100 and Ammonia (NH₄⁺) from the mid 3000 ppm to below 8. The user considered current technology (DTRO membrane) as not effective and costly due to the high rate of replacement cartridges.

Our mission was to show proof of concept of technology in a flow-through scenario, live and in real time. At this point, neither CapEx nor OpEx was considered, but obviously would come into play should the demonstration show EWS to be a viable technology.
Location

The demonstration site is in a rural northern China city landfill. Leachate discharge is 2000 tonnes/day, with very few amenities. The site is remote, with sub-zero temperatures in winter.

Technology platform:

- OriginClear model A25 for Electro-Flotation (EWS) stage, using MMO flotation anodes.
- OriginClear model 12 for Advanced Oxidation (AOx), equipped with MMO reactors and anodes.
- UF system
- R/O system
- Sand filter to handle any gross particulate on the inflow.

Influent water:

While there is variation on the inflow, the mean water quality is roughly as follows:

- 10,000 ppm COD
- 3500 ppm NH₄
- pH between 8.35 and 8.7
- TDS 11,000 ppm
- Average atmospheric temperature: High 6ºC low: 0ºC

Metrics/analytics:

- Visual
• COD, NH₃ testers
• pH meters

Set up: Sequences:
• Sand filter
• 2000 liter holding tank
• A25 Suspended Solids separation (EWS),
• UF clarity
• A12 Oxidation step (AOx)
• UF clarity
• R/O polish.

Process sequencing was established over a period of seven days. The flow rate was set at approximately 12 liters per minute, to match the nominal capacity of the AOx module.

Demonstration protocol
• Added FeSO₄ · 7H₂O (Iron(II) Sulfate Heptahydrate), dose 1,000 mg/l to influent water mixed in holding tank
• Further action was to add NaOH to raise pH in holding tank to 10. Visual extreme flocculation and black product coming out of solution.
• Started the A25 flotation unit. Only MMO flotation electrodes were used. Power readings of 4.6 V and 59 A
• Visual inspection of the floc: Floc and harvested mat
• Flowed through UF to clarity
• Flowed to A12 Advanced Oxidation unit with pH of 8.7: injected HCl in solution down to 6.5 pH.
• Product then flowed to UF again
• Product flowed through R/O for polish

There were 2 stages of UF and while these did not contribute to our numbers, they appeared to assist with the process by removing remaining trace TSS:
Breakthrough water cleanup technology

UF unit

Product after 2nd UF pass

After RO

NH₃ 8ppm and COD under 100
Discussion:

Lab results EWS:AOx output prior to RO:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric (mg/l)</th>
<th>Raw water</th>
<th>Post-EWS</th>
<th>% drop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>10,239</td>
<td>2,583</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>2,036</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>2,078</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The machines used in this initial pilot demonstration were converted algae harvesting equipment, normally used for a very specific organic load, namely, mostly TSS at maximum levels of 3,000 mg/l. Also, due to construction constraints, the residence time in AOx module was limited to 15 minutes, which, while being quite sufficient for moderately contaminated effluents, is in most cases below the usually required time for COD/BOD levels above a few thousand ppm.

Despite these limitations, the EWS:AOx™ modules chain has clearly demonstrated its ability to abate the major contamination factors that can be found in landfill leachate, as shown in the table above.

Further tests and demonstrations

The composition and contamination levels of landfill leachate, like the Municipal Solid Waste where it originates, significantly change with each site’s geographical location (local food culture, recycling habits, etc…), as well as landfill age. Further to this initial on-site demonstration, several test campaigns have been arranged using leachate from various sources and ages, to assess the versatility and ability of EWS:AOx™ to handle this influent variability.

For ease of handling, subsequent tests were done in EWS:AOx lab cells, each module cell having a batch treatment capability of 4 liters.
## Abatement performances

Background information such as third party lab results, experimentation photos and other data are available upon request. The most significant results are summarized in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Initial COD mg/l</th>
<th>Initial NH₃ mg/l</th>
<th>Final COD mg/l</th>
<th>Final NH₃ mg/l</th>
<th>% COD removal</th>
<th>% NH₃ removal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MK (China)</strong></td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>7,100</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HK (China)</strong></td>
<td>2,895</td>
<td>3,210*</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>11*</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>97%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WW (M’sia)</strong></td>
<td>830</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LG (China)</strong></td>
<td>2,975</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NY (China)</strong></td>
<td>2,058</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YY (China)</strong></td>
<td>67,584</td>
<td>10,460</td>
<td>16,477</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Measured parameter is Total Nitrogen  
** After initial biological treatment

## Cost factors

Due to the high variability in composition, treatment methods as well as local discharge requirements, little information is available on landfill treatment.

### Table 2 - Marginal Leachate Disposal Costs ($/gal)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Technology/Disposal to</th>
<th>POTW</th>
<th>Onsite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disposal via Tanker Truck</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane Bioreactor (MBR)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Activated Sludge (CAS)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBR with Reverse Osmosis (RO)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS with RO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
costs. However, the table above\(^1\) suggests a marginal cost bracket between US$ 8.91/m\(^3\) (MBR or CAS to POTW) and US$94.5/m\(^3\) (CAS+RO to local discharge). Interviews with end-users in China suggest that existing leachate treatment OpEx range between US$10 and US$15 per tonne.

The major operating cost factors of EWS:AOx are electricity, and the appropriately optimized pH adjustment and coagulation enhancement additives. While the present document does not claim to be exhaustive and reflect an exact comparison with the cost figures given above, field and lab tests added to other experiments realized with different wastewater feedstocks suggest the following total operation costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Unit per m(^3)</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit cost US$</th>
<th>Total cost per m(^3) US$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH adjustment</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>0 to 3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0 to 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation enhancement</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>0.5 to 2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2 to 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWS Energy</td>
<td>kWh</td>
<td>0.7 to 1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.07 to 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX Energy</td>
<td>kWh</td>
<td>10 to 60*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1 to 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.27 to 7.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Some feedstocks may require a higher energy use

**Conclusion:**

EWS:AOx™ shows promise in remediating not just leachate, but any industrial wastewater with a high contaminant load. The combination of reactor tubes with high shear and contact zones, Electro-induced flotation and Advanced Oxidation demonstrated its efficiency not only as a stand-alone system, but also for reducing the load on polishing systems such as

\(^{1}\) http://www.cornerstoneeg.com/2014/07/09/handle-landfill-leachate/
membranes or Reverse Osmosis units. Separate tests have pointed to a significant reduction in membrane fouling.

Construction engineering is currently exploring options for retrofitting existing flotation units, as well as responsive residence time and energy input combinations to enable AOx to adapt to contamination load variations over long periods of time. Additional studies will also address the optimization paths for enabling synergistic interactions between EWS:AOx and complementary modules, to efficiently address the removal of TDS or other specific contaminants, that may only be partially removed by EWS:AOx.